

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/807,703

Applicant(s)

ASANO ET AL.

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

## All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) David Hill.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 September 2006

Time: 16:45

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

4/14/2006

Claims discussed:

3, 13, 18, 23 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed with Mr. Hill how a correction via an examiner amendment needs to be made in the claims 3, 13, 18, 23 and 28 that have incorrect claim number dependencies as a result of a typo in the submitted amendment, which was agreed upon..*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)